

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/727,223                    | 12/03/2003  | Peter J. Dronzek JR. | 181-035.2           | 5170             |
| 7590 01/30/2006               |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ROBERT S. GORMAN, ESQ.        |             |                      | AHMAD, NASSER       |                  |
| 305 MADISON AVE.<br>SUITE 449 |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| NEW YORK,                     | NY 10165    |                      | 1772                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)      | Applicant(s)      |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/727,223      | DRONZEK, PETER J. | DRONZEK, PETER J. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit          |                   |  |  |
| Nasser Ahmad    | 1772              |                   |  |  |

| •   | Examino  | 7   | ŀ  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Nasser Ahmad   | 1772  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C         | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da  | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>AMENDMENTS  | I within the time period set forth in 3  | 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | , will <u>not</u> be entered b                          | ecause                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |  | duaina ar aimplifuina                                   | the iccurs for                             |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   | tter form for appeal by materially re  | ducing or simplifying                                   | the issues for                             |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | mpliant Amendment                                       | (PTOL-324).                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro  |  | Il be entered and an o                                  | explanation of                             |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).               |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Nasser Ahmad<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1772      | al<br>1/24/06                              |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended phrases such as "at least" changes the scope of the claims.